## The Patanjali Marketing Sutra - An Exploratory Analysis of Brand Experience, Personality, Satisfaction, and Loyalty in the FMCG Space

\* Sreejith R. \*\* Kalyani Suresh

#### Abstract

The study focused on the association between brand experience, brand personality, consumer satisfaction, and consumer loyalty of brand Patanjali in the FMCG space in South India. It is clear that Patnajali has made its way into the consumers' hearts and minds. Patanjali has simply, yet successfully identified consumers' need for pure and wholesome modern products using Baba Ramdev's image for authentication and credibility. Consumption is seen as a more intellectual experience and consumers seem to use it because the brand arouses their curiosity. Repeated purchase of a brand leads to thinking and problem solving attitudes. The findings indicated that experiential branding seems to be a more powerful indicator of trending behavior regarding product purchase of Patanjali consumers than brand personality, leading to better consumer satisfaction.

Keywords : Patanjali, brand experience, brand personality, brand satisfaction, brand loyalty

Paper Submission Date : February 22, 2017 ; Paper sent back for Revision : July 8, 2017 ; Paper Acceptance Date : August 12, 2017

2 016 was a big year for experiential marketing, with millennials being the most targeted consumers, who showed a propensity towards quality sensory brand experience over anything else. As marketers gear up to entice, entertain, and engage this new generation of consumers towards an 'immersive' brand experience, where consumers become actively engaged and totally engrossed (hedonically and physically) with the brand (Saville, 2015), the idea is to positively influence brand satisfaction, brand image, and loyalty.

Patanjali Ayurved Limited has been one of the biggest tectonic forces that has rocked the Indian FMCG space in recent times, giving the Nestles and Unilevers a run for their money. Founded in 2007 by Yoga guru Baba Ramdev, it has grown exponentially in revenue in the past few years. According to a Business Standard report (Dutta, 2016), the company has 4000 dealers, 10,000 supply stores, and hundred mega-marts. In 2015, the company tied up with retail giants and such as Reliance Retail and Future Group. Patanjali's brand portfolio includes 390 products spanning cosmetics, health, food and beverages, health drinks, and home care.

According to its last official filings for the financial year 2015-16, as reported in the Hindu Business Line (Krishnan, 2016), Patanjali Ayurved recorded total sales of more than ₹ 5000 crores. In 2012, Patanjali launched over a hundred 'open market' products in Andhra Pradesh, South India, including cosmetics, toiletries, vitality, and spices ("Patanjali Ayurved makes South India foray," 2012).

<sup>\*</sup> Post Graduate Student, Department of Mass Communication, Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Amrita University, Ettimadai, Coimbatore - 641 112, Tamil Nadu. E-mail : rsreejith407@gmail.com

<sup>\*\*</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mass Communication, Amrita VishwaVidyapeetham, Amrita University, Ettimadai, Coimbatore - 641 112, Tamil Nadu. E-mail : s\_kalyani@cb.amrita.edu

## **Brand Patanjali**

(1) Personality : What started off as a simple marketing of amla or gooseberry juice in Baba Ramdev's yoga camps (the juice was made from amla trees that broke farmers from a small village nearby Haridwar were thinking of destroying) has today become a credible threat to competitors in the FMCG sector, purely by hinging on the 'purity of swadeshi' and 'Made in India' brand promise and the Baba Ramdev 'halo effect'. When consumers buy Patanjali products, they are in fact buying a bit of the ethnic enigma that Baba Ramdev stands for.

The key to Patanjali's success has been changing consumer behavior (veering towards the health and wellness category), low price, brand's resonance with the cultural identity of a large proportion of the Indian population, aggressive distribution, strong brand association with health because of Baba Ramdev, simple yet effective packaging that focuses on 'Ayurveda' and 'health,' and its phenomenal word-of-mouth promotion.

Mitra (2016) proposed that according to Baba Ramdev, business is just a by-product, the trust factor is the brand promise that has made Patanjali big. Sharma (2016) quoted three experts: (a) Sitaram, V.S.K, Operating Partner, India Equity Partners (erstwhile from Dabur and-Unilever), who is of the view that Baba Ramdev has found sonority with people wanting guidance on the quality of life to be followed, (b) N. Chandramouli, who worked on The Brand Trust Report, India Study (2011-2015) referred to the fact that quality conscious people outside the periphery of Ramdev loyalists are buying Patanjali only for a variant product experience. This consumer set is not a brand loyalist, nor emotionally swayed by the aura of spiritual/political personalities. They are, in fact, balanced consumers, (c) Kalyan Ram Challapalli (erstwhile from the ad agencies Leo Burnett and TBWA) expressed surprise at the fact that while the core consumers for Patanjali would comprise of the Indian traditional middle and lower middle classes, the brand has loval consumers even in the higher income categories for healthcare products.

Patanjali's brand architecture stems from a three pronged approach ("Analysis: How does brand Patanjali stack up?," 2016):

(i) Baba Ramdev's enormous equity in Yoga and Ayurved is the source of the brand name 'Patanjali Ayurveda' that signals the coming together of two powerful forces – Yoga + Ayurveda.

(ii) Swami Baba Ramdev medicines is what Vichy Labs is to L'Oreal and what Ponds Institute is to Unilever. The Swami Baba Ramdev Medicines website (now https://www.patanjaliayurved.net/) contains the umbrella range of ayurvedic medicines for every conceivable ailment.

(iii) patanjaliayurved.net is the primary e-commerce website, where a gamut of products are on display for online purchase, while http://patanjaliayurved.org/ is the corporate website.

(2) Loyalty : Studies point to how tangible and intangible firm benefits can be created out of loyalty towards a brand : Chaudhuri and Holbrook (2001) demonstrated how marketing costs can be lowered as an outcome of brand loyalty ; Sutikno (2011) delved into a favourable image through word of mouth that arises from loyalty towards the brand ; Kabiraj and Shanmugan (2011) talked about increased profits in this context ; Gounaris and Stathakopoulos (2004) indicated a greater capture of market share ; while Iglesias, Singh, and Batista - Foguet (2011) pointed towards an advantage over competition in the marketplace.

In the categorization of brand loyalty, Morgan (1999) and Dick and Basu (1994) stated the predominance of attitudinal and behavioural loyalty. Attitudinal loyalty is the systematic and emotional preference or attachment shown towards a brand. Behavioural loyalty typically predicts the loyalty status through repeated patterns of purchasing behavior (Kahn, Kalwani, & Morrison, 1986; Morgan, 1999).

(i) Attitudinal Loyalty : Patanjali has built a strong emotional connect with the consumers based on three clear

platforms - first- a "swadesi" platform, secondly- resuscitating the rural poor and their economy, and finally caring for the health of all by providing the products at very affordable prices. This emotional branding is what has emerged as the strongest differentiating factor (Gupta, 2016).

(ii) Behavioral Loyalty : A consumer's synchronization with the Ayurveda tenets of Patanjali goes beyond a single product from the brand's repertoire, and extends into a variety of its products. This shows the consumers' tilt from multinational non-Ayurvedic brands to Indian herbal products. Another factor that is strongly in Patanjali's favor is its easy availability at the most reasonable price as compared to existing alternatives. This ensures the loyalty of low income class consumers. As a case in point, Dabur Chyawanprash and Dabur Honey are much more expensive than that of Patanjali's (Gupta, 2016).

(3) Satisfaction : Consumer satisfaction (pleasure or disappointment) is borne out of the comparison of the actual performance of the brand with its expected performance (Kotler & Armstrong, 2008). If the perceived benefits match with the expected benefits, the customer becomes loyal towards a company and its products. According to a study by Khanna (2015), Patanjali's brand satisfaction comes from the following: low price, health benefits, easy availability, good quality, and the 'swadeshi' element. Kapoor and Chaudhary (2017) in their survey with 150 respondents found product quality, brand image, and trust contributing to brand selection by consumers along with Baba Ramdev's charisma. However, their study revealed that consumers obtained only average satisfaction from the use of the brand.

### **Literature Review**

As early as 1982, Holbrook and Hirschman identified new trends in product consumption behaviors being driven by multi-sensory and emotive characteristics. More recent developments in theoretical literature and empirical studies in brand-related measurements is the study of brand experience (Brakus, Schmitt, & Zarantonello, 2009 ; Chiu, Hsu, & Wang, 2006 ; Fransen & Lodder, 2010 ; Sands, Oppewal, & Beverland, 2008).

De Chernatony, McDonald, and Wallence (2011) talked about the brand experience as a fulfillment of the brand's promise - of offering a consumer, a unique and welcome experience. In today's media saturated and brand saturated world, marketers are attempting to lay on the consumers' table, a brand that goes beyond the promise of fulfilling functional requirements (which are passé' in the new age consumer's dictionary) to enable the consumer to fulfill his/her hedonic needs.

Schmitt (1999) identified five types of consumer experiences matching with the five senses, from academic literature – sensing, feeling, thinking, physical, and acting & relating. Scale dimensions from Brakus et al. (2009) were drawn from empirical evidence on brand - related stimuli and contains four dimensions - (a) sensory, (b) affective, (c) behavioral, and (d) intellectual.

(1) Brand Name \* Brand Experience : In order to exist and survive in the market, customer satisfaction is an important factor for a brand. A positive brand experience continues to affect the customers even after the sale, which makes the brand - consumer relationship stronger.

Selda and Bilge (2013) tried to gauge the connection between brand experience and brand name through quantitative research method. Data regarding purchasing habits and demographical characteristics of the students on getting food and beverage services were obtained along with measures of brand experience, brand name, and customer satisfaction of fast-food brands. The authors found that a consistent brand name could make a new product acceptable in the market - more than the quality of the product, the idea about the brand is the assessment of a product before purchasing.

(2) Brand Experience \* Brand Loyalty : Ueacharoenkit (2013) conducted a quantitative study among 520 participants to find out the connection between brand loyalty and brand experience in Thailand's high-end cosmetic industry. The major findings of the paper were that (a) brand experience correlated with sensory, affective, behavioural, intellectual, and social dimensions, and (b) while brand experience was directly affected by consumer loyalty, it was indirectly affected by brand trust, consumer satisfaction, and brand personality.

(3) Brand Personality \* Brand Experience : Aaker (1997) described brand experience as the prime feature of a brand's personality. Maehle, Otnes, and Supphellen (2011) brought out associations between that brand personality dimensions and product categories. The authors mentioned how brands seen as having weak brand personalities by consumers could share common attributes. Also, consumers tend to judge brand traits in terms of it being sincere, exciting, competent, sophisticated, or rugged when the consumer undergoes certain sensory, affective, intellectual, or behavioural aspects of experiencing the brand.

**(4) Brand Experience** \* **Brand Satisfaction :** According to Kuenzel and Halliday (2008) and Nam, Evincing, and Whyatt (2011), brand satisfaction is the end result of consumption/use of a brand based on the differences between expectations before use and the resultant performance after use.

## **Objective of the Study**

To objective of the study is to test the association between measured variables - brand experience, brand personality, consumer satisfaction, and consumer loyalty of brand Patanjali in the FMCG space in South India.

## **Research Methodology**

Data were collected through both online and offline questionnaires from 100 users of Patanjali products from tier one and tier two cities of four states in South India, that is, from Tamil Nadu (Chennai, Coimbatore), Kerala (Cochin, Trivandrum), Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad, Vijayawada), and Karnataka (Bangalore, Mysore). The data was collected in the month of December 2016.

The first part of the questionnaire had questions related to the demographic details of the users, types of Patanjali products people use, their reason for purchasing the products (price, health benefit, availability, natural and pure, swadeshi - as endorsed by Baba Ramdev and advertising – a six-scale item ranging from *most important* to *last important reason*). The second part of the questionnaire assessed consumer brand experience with Patanajali products using the scale developed by Brakus et al. (2009) that included the following variables: sensory, affective, behavioral, and intellectual. The brand personality dimension was assessed in the third part of the questionnaire using 15 brand personality items that represented the five brand personality dimensions – sincerity, excitement, competence, sophistication, and ruggedness (Brakus et al., 2009). Consumer satisfaction was modelled on Oliver's (1980) five item, 7-point Likert scale ranging from *"strongly disagree"* to *"strongly agree"*. To measure consumer loyalty, scale items from Yoo and Donthu (2001) were adopted again using a 7 - point Likert scale.

## **Data Analysis and Results**

Data from questionnaires received (n = 100) were entered into IBM SPSS. The following sections outline the analysis of the data.

(1) Demographic Details of the Respondents : Fifty five percent (55%) of the respondents were female while 45% were males. In the 18 - 25 years age category, 64% were females and 36% were males. The 26 - 35 years category had equal distribution of males and females (50%). A higher percent of males (52%) fell under the 36 - 45 years age group, while 48% were females ; 67% of the males dominated the above 45 years age group compared to 55% of the females. The respondents were predominantly those with post graduate degrees (43%), followed by graduates (40%), higher than post graduates (15%), and 2% with basic school education.

Forty seven percent (47%) of the respondents belonged to high income category (earning above ₹ 45,000/- per month); 18% of the respondents were in the low income category (earning less than ₹ 25,000/- per month). Other respondents fell in the ₹ 35,000 – ₹ 45,000 category (21%), and the ₹ 25,000 - ₹ 35,000 category (14%).

Geographic distribution of the respondents is as follows : 32% were from Tamil Nadu (Chennai and Coimbatore), 21% from Karnataka (Bangalore and Mysore), 20% from Andhra Pradesh (Hyderabad and Vijayawada), and 27% from Kerala (Cochin and Trivandrum).

(2) Types of Patanjali Products Used and Reasons for Buying : Home and personal care products topped the list (74%), followed by grocery (47%), nutrition related (26%), healthcare (24%), medicines (9%), and food items such as ghee, sugar, juices, candy etc. (4%). Price was seen as the main reason for buying Patanjali products (51%), 44% of the respondents said they purchased Patanjali due to its products being natural and pure, 28% of the respondents purchases for the health benefits of the products, 24% for it having the swadeshi tag , 11% for its availability, and 5% due to advertising of the products.

(3) Patanjali Products, Brand Experience, and Brand Personality : *t* - test revealed significant differences between groups in terms of brand experience, brand personality (high/low), and types of products used.

Consumers using home and personal care products had lower means for brand experience [t(74.327) = 2.295, p = 0.000 (n = 42, M = 1.3810)] than those who claimed a better brand experience while using these products (n = 58, M = 1.1724).

Consumers using grocery products had lower means for brand personality [t (80.989) = 2.048, p = 0.032 (n = 38, M = 1.6579)] than those who claimed a better brand personality for these products (n = 62, M = 1.4516).

Consumers using Patanjali medicines had lower means for brand personality [t (98) = -1.133, p = 0.025 (n = 38, M = 1.9737)] than those who claimed a better brand personality for these products (n = 62, M = 1.9516).

Consumers using home and personal care products had lower means for brand personality [t(98) = 1.953, p = 0.001 (n = 38, M = 1.3684)] than those who claimed a better brand personality for these products (n = 62, M=1.1935).

Consumers using home and personal care products had lower means for consumer satisfaction [t(98) = 1.953, p = 0.001 (n = 38, M = 1.3684)] than those who claimed a better brand experience while using these products (n=62, M=1.1935).

Home and personal products scored lower on brand experience, brand personality, and satisfaction. Grocery products and medicines scored lower on brand personality alone. Reliability analysis was done using Cronbach's alpha for the scale items for variables' brand experience, brand personality, consumer satisfaction, and consumer loyalty. The Table 1 summarizes the results. The Tables 2 - 4 provide the correlation matrices for the variables to be used in regression analysis. There is a positive correlation between all variables.

(4) Regression and Simple Path Analysis : Based on the objective of the study, regression analysis is done on the various variables defining brand experience, personality, consumer satisfaction, and loyalty. The use of multiple regression analysis is appropriate for estimating the parameters of the path using beta coefficient. However, the precision of the multiple regressions is based on several assumptions. These assumptions are : normality of

Variable	No. of Items	Cronbach's Alpha
Brand Experience (Sensory)	3	.638
Brand Experience (Affective)	3	.730
Brand Experience (Behavioural)	3	.653
Brand Experience (Intellectual)	3	.583
Brand Personality (Sincerity)	4	.843
Brand Personality (Excitement)	4	.742
Brand Personality (Competence)	3	.849
Brand Personality Sophistication)	2	.514
Brand Personality (Ruggedness)	2	.500
Consumer Satisfaction	5	.843
Consumer Loyalty	5	.908

Table 1. Reliability Analysis

#### Table 2. Total Brand Experience \* Sensory, Affective, Behavioural, Intellectual

	Sensory	Affective	Behavioural	Intellectual	Total Brand Experience
Sensory	1				
Affective	.446** (.000)	1			
Behavioural	.640** (.000)	.685** (.000)	1		
Intellectual	. 690** (.000)	.460** (.000)	.460** (.000)	1	
Total Brand Experience	.728** (.000)	.849** (.000)	.886** (.000)	.643** (.000)	1

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 3. Total Brand Personality* Sincerity, Excitement	t, Competence, Sophistication, and Ruggedness
---	---

	Sincerity	Excitement	Competence	Sophistication	Ruggedness	Total Brand Personality
Sincerity	1					
Excitement	.706** (.000)	1				
Competence	.744** (.000)	.662** (.000)	1			
Sophistication	.502** (.000)	.446** (.000)	.453** (.000)	1		
Ruggedness	.491** (.000)	.542** (.000)	.471** (.000)	.649** (.000)	1	
Total Brand Personality	.842** (.000)	.824** (.000)	.800** (.000)	.631** (.000)	.669** (.000)	1

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

#### Table 4. Brand Experience \* Brand Personality, Consumer Loyalty, Consumer Satisfaction

	Brand Experience	Brand Personality	Consumer Loyalty	Consumer Satisfaction
Brand Experience	1			
Brand Personality	.752** (.000)	1		
Consumer Loyalty	.684** (.000)	.659** (.000)	1	
Consumer Satisfaction	729** (.000)	.701** (.000)	.453** (.000)	1

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

			Doman		culturo				
DV	IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
Brand Experience		.728	.530	110.707					
	Sensory				.728	.222	10.522	.000	1.000
DV	IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
Brand Experience		.849	.721	253.520					
	Affective				.849	.148	15.922	.000	1.000
DV	IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	т	Р	VIF
Brand Experience		.886	.785	357.289					
	Behavioural				.886	.147	18.902	.000	1.000
DV	IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	т	Р	VIF
Brand Experience		.643	.414	69.255					
	Intellectual				.643	.269	8.322	.000	1.000

## Table 5. Regression with Brand Experience as Criterion and Sensory, Affective, Behavioural, and IntellectualDomains as the Predictors

# Table 6. Regression with Brand Personality as Criterion and Sincerity, Excitement, Competence,Sophistication, and Ruggedness Domains as the Predictors

-								
IV	R	R²	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
	.842	.709	238.858					
Sincerity				.842	.171	15.455	.000	1.000
IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	т	Р	VIF
	.824	.679	207.169					
Excitement				.824	.191	14.393	.000	1.000
IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
	.800	.640	173.955					
Competence				.800	.259	13.189	.000	1.000
IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	т	Р	VIF
	.631	.398	64.907					
Sophistication				.631	.419	8.056	.000	1.000
IV	R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	т	Р	VIF
	.669	.448	79.558					
Ruggedness				.669	.445	8.920	.000	1.000
	IV Excitement IV Competence IV Sophistication IV	.842 Sincerity R .824 Excitement .824 IV R .800 Competence .800 IV R .631 Sophistication .631 Sophistication .631	IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> .842         .709           Sincerity         .842         .709           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> .824         .679         .824           Excitement         .824         .679           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> .800         .640           Competence         .631         .398           Sophistication         .631         .398           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> .669         .448	.842       .709       238.858         Sincerity       R       R <sup>2</sup> IV       R       R <sup>2</sup> F         .824       .679       207.169         Excitement       .824       .679       207.169         IV       R       R <sup>2</sup> F         .820       .640       173.955         Competence       .800       .640       173.955         IV       R       R <sup>2</sup> F         .631       .398       64.907         Sophistication       .631       .398       64.907         IV       R       R <sup>2</sup> F         .631       .398       64.907         Sophistication       .631       .398       64.907         Sophistication       .669       .448       79.558	IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> F         Stand. Beta           .842         .709         238.858         .842           Sincerity         .         .842         .842           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> F         Stand. Beta           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> F         Stand. Beta           .824         .679         207.169         .824           Excitement         .824         .679         207.169           Excitement         .824         .679         207.169           Competence         .800         .640         173.955           Competence         .630         .640         173.955           Sophistication         .631         .398         64.907           .631         .398         64.907         .631           IV         R         R <sup>2</sup> F         Stand. Beta           .669         .448         79.558         .631	$IV$ R $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. Error.842.709238.858.842.171Sincerity $\cdot$ $\cdot$ .842.171 $IV$ R $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. Error.824.679207.169.824.191Excitement $\cdot$ $\cdot$ .824.191 $IV$ R $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. Error.800.640173.955.800.259 $IV$ R $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. Error.631.39864.907.631.419 $IV$ R $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. Error.669.44879.558.51.51.51	IVR $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. ErrorT.842.709238.858Sincerity.842.17115.455IVR $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. ErrorT.824.679207.169.824.19114.393Excitement824.19114.393IVR $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. ErrorT.800.640173.955.800.25913.189IVR $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. ErrorT.631.39864.907.631.4198.056IVR $R^2$ FStand. BetaStand. ErrorT.669.44879.558.51.51.51.51	$IV$ $R$ $R^2$ $F$ Stand. Beta         Stand. Error $T$ $P$ .842         .709         238.858

As a next step, simple path analysis was conducted.

distribution, equality of variance, independence of observations, and existence of a linear relationship. Preliminary analysis of the data reveals that these assumptions are satisfied. The problem of multicollinearity was checked and satisfied. Variance inflation factor (VIF) is less than 3 for all variables thus ruling out multicollinearity (Myers, 1990).

The Tables 5 and 6 show outputs of regression analysis done on sub-variables that define brand experience and brand personality. As a next step, simple path analysis was conducted. Multiple regression was done by using a





three layered approach : (a) brand personality, brand experience, consumer satisfaction > consumer loyalty, (b) brand personality, brand experience -> consumer satisfaction, and (c) brand personality > brand experience The regression coefficients in these three analyses will provide path coefficients. Output of the regressions is given in the Tables 7 to 9.

The Figure 1 depicts the hypothesized model of the study. The Figure 2 (along with the standardized beta and p-values) depicts how brand experience, brand personality, and consumer satisfaction impact consumer loyalty.

There is both a direct and indirect effect from consumer satisfaction and loyalty on brand experience through

			iui		CBI C33101	Layer				
DV	IV		R	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
Consumer Loyalty			.845	.713	79. 625					
	Brand Person	ality				.180	.040	2.066	.042	2.529
	Brand Experie	ence				.243	.045	2.709	.008	2.687
	Consumer satis	faction				.514	.030	2.164	.000	2.067
			Tal	ole 8. R	egressio	n Layer 2				
DV	IV	R		R <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
Consumer Satisfaction .719		.719	.5	16	51.762					
	Brand persona	lity				. 334	.048	4.045	.000	2.299
	Brand Experier	nce				. 433	.044	3.117	.000	2.299
			Tal	ble 9. R	egressio	n Layer 3				
DV	IV	R		R <sup>2</sup>	F	Stand. Beta	Stand .Error	Т	Р	VIF
Brand Experience		.752	.5	65	127.309					
	Brand Persona	lity				.752	.050	11.283	.000	1.000

Table 7. Regression Layer 1

brand personality. Su and Tong's (2016) study on denim jeans brands also indicated similar findings, though the sub-variables used to define brand personality used in the study were attractiveness, practicality, and flexibility.

Brand experience's direct effect on consumer loyalty through consumer satisfaction is  $.45 (.24 + .43 \times .51)$ , and the total indirect effect through brand personality and satisfaction is  $.26 (.75 \times .33 \times .51 + .75 \times .18)$  adding upto a total effect of .71. Brand experience's total direct effect on consumer loyalty is  $.35 (.18 + .33 \times .51)$ , which is lower than the total direct effect of brand experience on loyalty (.45). The analysis throws up interesting effects of brand experience and personality of Patanjali on satisfaction and loyalty of consumers.

The direct effect of Patanjali's brand experience on consumer loyalty by using Patanjali products (.24) is greater than the direct effect of the personality of the Patanjali brand on consumer loyalty towards brand Patanjali (.18). The direct impact of the experience that brand Patanjali consumers undergo with respect to their satisfaction levels (.43) is greater than the direct impact of brand Patanjali's personality on their satisfaction levels (.33). Thus, experiential branding seems to be a more powerful indicator of trending behavior regarding product purchase of Patanjali consumers than brand personality, leading to better consumer satisfaction. This finding is in line with the results obtained by Moreira, Silva, and Moutinho (2017), who stated that brand experience leads to loyalty with brand satisfaction as the main predictor.

This finding indicates a gamut of subjective and personal brand experience dimensions that stimulate consumers to feel, act, think, and behave in a particular manner in response to the brand may be repetitive in nature, leading to higher purchase that leads towards better satisfaction and thus loyalty towards the brand.

The hypothesized model also tests the independent effect of sub dimensions of brand experience and brand personality. The intellectual dimension of brand experience has the highest effect on brand experience (r = .886), followed by affective (.849), sensory (.728), and behavioural (.643) dimensions. For brand personality dimensions, it is sincerity (.842), followed by excitement (.824), competence (.800), ruggedness (.669), and sophistication (.631). Keng, Tran, and Thi's (2013) findings showed similarity in terms of brand experience but differed in terms of brand personality variable effect, with competence having the highest effect followed by excitement, sincerity, sophistication, and ruggedness.

Consumers of Patanjali in South India saw Patanjali products as more of an intellectual experience and they seemed to use the products because the brand aroused their curiosity, and repeated purchase leads to thinking and

problem solving attitudes. At the next level, with respect to the affective dimension scores, the consumers developed feelings, sentiments, and emotions towards the brand. Sensory aspects of the brand were rated as the third most important, with the behavioural dimension in terms of physical and bodily actions scoring the least. Consumers tend to see Patanjali as being a sincere brand, this maybe due to its vigorous positioning as a non-profit, health - oriented brand with a 'selfless' Baba Ramdev as its endorser. The brand scores lower on the sophistication dimension. The very marketing sutra of Patanjali is to make it look earthy and rustic, aligned with the image of its founder and the '*swadeshi* version of *pardesi* FMCG' element. This could be the reason for the low score.

#### **Managerial Implications**

The current study has various managerial implications. As marketers try to understand, assess, and improve the brand perception in the consumers' mind, this type of study can point towards how consumers associate personal brand experiences.

Nowadays, marketers have to try to differentiate themselves from their competitors by developing innovative ideas related to experiential branding. This study advances how a rustic brand as Patanjali can elevate its marketing to lend an intellectual experience to its consumers.

The most durable brands are those that offer a holistic customer experience, including emotional effect, sensory effect, and the 'connect' effect (Bacon, 2016). However, many brands do not think macroscopically about an overall brand experience. The challenge is to bring all these individual elements together for a profound association with the consumers. Rawat (2014) identified empirically the importance of building customers' emotional association with a brand, in order to show today's marketers how brand experiences could be associated with consumers' emotional association with brands.

The study focuses on the association between brand experience, brand personality, consumer satisfaction, and consumer loyalty of brand Patanjali in the FMCG space in South India. The study highlights the growing importance of brand experience in the consumer loyalty equation. It is clear that Patnajali has made its way into the consumer's heart and mind. Patanjali has simply, yet successfully identified consumer need for pure and wholesome modern products...using Baba Ramdev's image for authentication and credibility. There is no room for doubt that for Patanjali, brand experiences are critical to customer loyalty – having a direct impact on how customers assess and relate to it. For Patanjali to be able to arouse customer loyalty, it is seen that price and quality provide incontestable value to customers. Totality (completeness of the various elements of marketing that Patanjali offers) and tonality (ability to connect emotionally with the customer - the *'swadeshi'* spirit with which Patanjali carries out business, which is in line with the ideology of Baba Ramdev) are the two concepts that can be applied to explain this phenomenon. Patanjali stands out and distinguishes itself on these two major counts from every other FMCG player in the market. By providing a good brand experience, Patanjali manages to keep its consumers happy as well as turn their brand interface into a habitual experience.

Brand experience is theorized as perceptual, intellectual, and behavioral reactions to brand-related motivations that are part of a brand's environment, including design, packaging, and communication. Brand experience has a significant effect on customer loyalty and satisfaction. This research identifies how brand experience builds a long-lasting customer relationship with brand satisfaction and loyalty.

### Limitations of the Study and Scope for Further Research

This study and its results have several limitations and also indicate directions for further research. The sample size itself is relatively small. With an increased sample size, a more detailed empirical analysis among the variables can be performed. The current research indicates strong empirical support for the relationships between brand

experience, brand satisfaction, and loyalty. Also, the study did not examine personal factors, product involvement, brand associations, and trust. Further research should focus on the antecedents and long-term consequences of brand experiences.

#### References

Aaker, J. L. (1997). Dimensions of brand personality. Journal of Marketing Research, 34 (3), 347 - 356.

- Analysis: How does brand Patanjali stack up? (2016, January 14). *Advertising Age.* Retrieved from http://www.adageindia.in/marketing/cmo-strategy/analysis-how-does-brand-patanjali-stack-up/articleshow/50576644.cms
- Bacon, J. (2016, September 7). Marketers that fail to address brand experience will see loyalty drop. *Marketing Week*. Retrieved from https://www.marketingweek.com/2016/09/07/marketers-that-fail-to-address-brand-experience-will-see-loyalty-drop/
- Brakus, J. J., Schmitt, B. H., & Zarantonello, L. (2009). Brand experience : What is it? How is it measured? Does it affect loyalty? *Journal of Marketing*, 73 (3), 52 68.
- Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M.B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance : The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*, 65 (2), 81 93.
- Chiu, C.-M., Hsu, M.- H., & Wang, E.T.G. (2006). Understanding knowledge sharing in virtual communities: An integration of social capital and social cognitive theories. *Decision Support Systems*, 42(3), 1872-1888.
- De Chernatony, L., McDonald, M., & Wallence, E. (2011). *Creating powerful brands* (4th ed). Oxford : Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Dick, A.S., & Basu, K. (1994). Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. *Journal of the Academy* of Marketing Science, 22 (2), 99-133.
- Dutta, A. (2016, April 27). Baba Ramdev's Patanjali aims to double its revenue to Rs 10,000 cr in 2016-17. *Business Standard*. Retrieved from http://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/baba-ramdev-s-patanjali-aims-to-double-its-revenue-to-rs-10-000-cr-in-2016-17-116042700061 1.html
- Fransen, M., & Lodder, P. (2010). The effects of experience-based marketing communication on brand relation and hedonic brand attitudes : The moderating role of affective orientation, in M. C. Campbell, J. Inman, & R. Pieters (eds.), *NA - advances in consumer research* (Vol. 37, pp. 801-802). Duluth, MN : Association for Consumer Research.
- Gounaris, S., & Stathakopoulos, V. (2004). Antecedents and consequences of brand loyalty: An empirical study. *Journal of Brand Management*, *11*(4), 283 - 306. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.bm.2540174
- Gupta, R. (2016) Disruptive marketing and brand building : A case study of Patanjali, Ayurveda Limited. Advances in *Economics and Business Management (AEBM), 3* (2), 225 231.
- Holbrook, M. B., & Hirschman, E.C. (1982). The experiential aspects of consumption: Consumer fantasies, feelings, and fun. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 9 (2), 132 140. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1086/208906
- 46 Indian Journal of Marketing September 2017

- Iglesias, O., Singh, J.J., & Batista Foguet, J.M. (2011). The role of brand experience and affective commitment in determining brand loyalty. *Brand Management*, 18(8), 570 582. DOI : http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.58
- Kabiraj, S., & Shanmugan, J. (2011). Development of a conceptual framework for brand loyalty: A Euro-Mediterranean perspective. *Journal of Brand Management*, 18(4/5), 285-299. doi : http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/bm.2010.42
- Kahn, B.E., Kalwani, M. U., & Morrison D. G. (1986). Measuring variety-seeking and reinforcement behavior using panel data. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 23, 89-100.
- Kapoor, D., & Chaudhary M. (2017). Patanjali : Emergence of a new star on the Indian FMCG horizon. *Indian Journal* of Marketing, 47(1), 54 63. doi: 10.17010/ijom/2017/v47/i1/108810
- Keng, C.J., Tran, V.D., & Thi, T.M. (2013). Relationships among brand experience, brand personality, and customer experiential value. *Contemporary Management Research*, 9(3), 247-262. doi:10.7903/cmr.11086
- Khanna, R. (2015). Customer perception towards brand: A study on 'Patanjali'. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, *15*(9). Retrieved from https://journalofbusiness.org/index.php/GJMBR/article/download/1878/1780/
- Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2008). Principles of marketing. India: Pearson Education.
- Krishnan, A. (2016, June 9). A reality check on Patanjali. *The Hindu Business Line*. Retrieved from http://www.thehindubusinessline.com/opinion/columns/a-reality-check-on-patanjali/article8710429.ece
- Kuenzel, S., & Halliday, V. S. (2008). Investigating antecedents and consequences of brand identification. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 17(5), 293 - 304.
- Maehle, N., Otnes, C., & Supphellen, M. (2011). Consumers' perceptions of the dimensions of brand personality. *Journal of Consumer Behavior*, 10(5), 290 - 303. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cb.355
- Mitra, S. (2016) Inside Baba Ramdev's Patanjali empire. *Live mint*. Retrieved from http://www.livemint.com/Companies/hLEBBx17cFY5rPjTjmIP9O/The-Patanjali-story.html
- Moreira, A., Silva, P. M., & Moutinho V. M. (2017). The effects of brand experiences on quality, satisfaction and loyalty: An empirical study in the telecommunications multiple-play service market. *Innovar*, 27 (64), 23-36. doi: 10.15446/innovar.v27n64.62366
- Morgan, R. P. (1999). A consumer-orientated framework of brand equity and loyalty. *International Journal of Market Research*, 42(1), 65-78.
- Myers, R. (1990). Classical and modern regression with applications. Boston, MA: Duxbury Press.
- Nam, J., Evincing, Y., & Whyatt, G. (2011). Brand equity, brand loyalty and consumer satisfaction. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 38(3), 1009 1030.
- Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17(4), 460-469.
- Patanjali Ayurved makes South India foray. (2012, April 24). Business Standard . Retrieved from http://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/patanjali-ayurved-makes-south-india-foray-112042400027\_1.html

- Rawat, J. (2014). Emotional association with a brand: A link that lasts in consumers' memory through experience. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, 44 (10), 51 59. doi:10.17010/ijom/2014/v44/i10/80118
- Sands, S., Oppewal, H., & Beverland, M. (2008). The influence of in-store experiential events on shopping value perceptions and shopping behaviour. *Advances in Consumer Research*, *35*, 298 303.
- Saville, P. (2015). What does 2016 hold for brand experiences? 5 trends for the coming year. *Campaign*. Retrieved from http://www.campaignlive.co.uk/article/1377697/does-2016-hold-brand-experiences-5-trends-coming-year
- Schmitt, B. (1999). Experiential marketing. Journal of Marketing Management, 15(1-3), 53-67.
- Selda, U.O., & Bilge, C. K. (2013). A research on the relationship between brand experience and brand name with customer satisfaction. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 1 (1), 10 - 21. DOI: 10.17265/2328-2169/2013.10.002
- Sharma, A. (2016, January 18). Who's afraid of Baba Ramdev's Patanjali. *Afaqs!* Retrieved from http://www.afaqs.com/news/story/46869 Whos-Afraid-Of-Baba-Ramdevs-Patanjali
- Su, J., & Tong, X. (2016). Brand personality, consumer satisfaction, and loyalty: A perspective from denim jeans brands. *Family & Consumer Sciences*, 44 (4), 427 - 446.
- Sutikno, B. (2011). Does consumers' brand identification matter : The mediating roles of brand loyalty. *The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Social Sciences*, 6(3), 319-322.
- Ueacharoenkit, S. (2013). Investigating the relationship between brand experience and loyalty: The study of luxury cosmetic brand in Thailand (Doctoral Thesis), Brunel University. Retrieved from http://bura.brunel.ac.uk/handle/2438/7535
- Yoo, B., & Donthu, N. (2001). Developing and validating a multidimensional consumer-based brand equity scale. *Journal of Business Research*, 52 (1), 1 - 14.

#### **About the Authors**

Mr. Sreejith's special interest in Brand Management, Market Research, and Advertising has helped him gain an in-depth understanding of the role and function of Marketing in modern business.

Dr. Kalyani Suresh's teaching competencies include Humanities and Core Communication Courses such as Integrated Marketing Communication, New Media, and Research.